PLANNING APPLICATION REPORT

Case Officer: Matt Jones Parish: Bridestowe Ward: Bridestowe

Application No: 4161/16/OPA

Agent/Applicant: Applicant:

Edward Persse Messers T Warren & S Drayner

49 Bannawell Street C/O The Agent

Tavistock Devon PL19 0DP

Site Address: Land at SX 516 892, Opposite Springfield Park, Bridestowe

Development: planning application with all matters reserved for construction of 4 dwellings

Reason item is being put before Committee

Cllr Mott has requested that the application come before Planning and Licensing Committee due to the issues raised regarding drainage, neighbour impact, highways, and the appropriateness of the site for residential development in the context of the emerging Joint Local Plan and Bridestowe Neighbourhood Plan.



Recommendation

That delegated authority be given to the CoP Lead in consultation with the Chairman of the Committee to approve the application subject to the conditions listed below and the prior satisfactory completion of a Section 106 Agreement

However, in the event that the Section 106 legal agreement remains unsigned six months after this resolution, that the application is reviewed by the CoP Lead, in consultation with the Chairman of the Committee, and if no progress is being made delegated authority is given to the CoP Lead to refuse the application in the absence of an agreed S106 Agreement

Conditions

- 1. Standard time limit for outline permission
- 2. Reserved matters details
- 3. Adherence to plans
- 4 Percolation testing/results and subsequent SuDS detail prior to commencement
- 5. Foul disposal details prior to commencement
- 6. Landscape and Ecological Management Plan prior to commencement
- 7. Unsuspected contamination
- 8. Completion of highways infrastructure works prior to first use

Section 106 Obligations

• £ 29,625 in education contributions

Key issues for consideration:

The main issues are the principle of development with regard to the Development Plan, emerging Joint Local Plan and emerging Bridestowe Neighbourhood Plan, the visual impact of the proposal and the impact upon local character and heritage, drainage, land contamination access and parking, neighbour impact and ecology

Site Description:

The application site is part of a field adjacent to the settlement of Bridestowe. The highway runs along the site's northern boundary, from where it is accessed via an existing vehicular entrance. To the north of the highway is the complex of buildings at Springfield Park and Springfield Nursing Home.

The residential curtilage of the detached dwelling 'Lyndhurst' is to the west, with the remaining parts of the agricultural field to the south and east of the application site. Beyond the field to the east is a detached dwelling. The boundary with Lyndhurst is also the Settlement Boundary. The site is on sloping ground with levels generally dropping towards the north west corner of the site.

The site is within designated countryside, adjacent to the Bridestowe Settlement Boundary, and is otherwise free of specific planning constraints. The village Conservation Area, with its listed buildings, is located approximately 200m to the west, accessed via Rectory Road and there is a degree of intervisibility between the two. As such, the site is technically within the setting of the grade II* Church of St Bridget and has been advertised as such.

The Proposal:

This is an Outline application with all matters reserved for the construction of 4 dwellings. Although all matters are reserved, indicative plans, drainage information and a Heritage Assessment has been submitted, as requested by officers, to allow for an informed decision to be made. The scheme has

formally readvertised on the basis of the details provided and due to the site being within the setting of the grade II* listed church.

Consultations:

County Highways Authority

No objection subject to condition:

'There are no objections to the proposed development from a highway safety point of view as it will be possible to provide the development with suitable access(es) to the highway'

DCC Education

No objection subject to planning obligation as follows:

'The primary school within a 1.5 mile radius of this development is Bridestowe Primary School. There is no forecasted surplus capacity at Bridestowe Primary School to mitigate the impact of this development, so we are requesting a contribution towards primary school infrastructure. A development of four family dwellings is expected to generate one primary aged pupil and we are therefore requesting £13,652.00.

The designated secondary school is Okehampton College which has no forecasted surplus capacity. A development of 4 family dwellings is expected to generate 0.6 secondary aged pupils and so we are requesting £13,153.00 (being the extension rate of £21,921.00 \times 0.6).

Because of the distance from the development to the designated secondary school, Okehampton College, a request for a contribution towards secondary school transport is made. The current cost of transporting pupils from Bridestowe to Okehampton College is £2.97 per student per day. So, we are requesting £2,820.00 being £2.97 x 190 days in the academic year x 5 years at secondary school.'

WDBC Drainage

No objection subject to conditions (foul and surface water):

'Based on the information provided we would support the current proposal. Sufficient information has been provided to demonstrate a workable scheme, the final design will need to be agreed with the LPA. Therefore if permission is granted please include the following conditions to finalise the drainage design.'

WDBC Conservation

No objection – 'The HIA provided is thorough and I would not seek to criticise the content. The site is somewhat detached visually from the CA and the limited views from and of heritage assets, especially the church, are incidental and not designed. Development can be accommodated in this location without harm to the setting of heritage assets, including the CA and church.

The site is part of a larger field and development will change the character of that landscape character, but this can be ameliorated through good landscaping including proper hedgebanks and hedge / orchard planting to integrate the site into the locality. These and points which are peripheral to heritage.

The indicative designs are poor but as all matters are reserved I would hope that very much better design proposals would come forward for actual development.

On the assumption that design, materials and landscaping will all be of a high standard when details are produced I would have no objection to the principle of development from a heritage perspective.'

Historic England

No objection – refer scheme to Council's in house Conservation expertise

Bridestowe Parish Council

Initial objection ('The application was not supported on the grounds that there was insufficient information supplied to be able to make an informed decision') resolved to support following receipt of additional information.

'The PC supports this application. However, there is considerable public concern over traffic volume and the dangerous nature of bends on the road. Drainage is also an issue. There is concern that there are no drains in the road.'

Representations:

Approximately 22 letters of representation have been received at the time of writing this report, 20 objecting and 2 in support. Concerns raised within the submitted letters of objection are summarised as follows:

- Doesn't accord with the Development Plan
- There are highways infrastructure issues with the development
- There is a lack of footpaths to serve the development
- Will lead to an unsafe highways environment
- Will lead to overlooking and additional noise nuisance
- There are previous refusals on the site
- There is a pylon within close proximity to the site
- There are errors within the submission
- There are drainage implications arising from the development
- The site contributes to the setting of the village and provides views to Dartmoor
- Will harm the character of the Conservation Area
- Will not provide affordable housing for local people
- Will place additional pressure on services
- There has been no pre-application enquiry on the site
- The scheme is not an infill and is in conflict with the emerging Neighbourhood Plan
- There are preferential sites elsewhere within the village
- Will prejudice agricultural use of remaining field
- The ecology work is out of date

Comments made in support of the scheme are summarised as follows:

- This scheme is an infill and is in accordance with the emerging Neighbourhood Plan
- Will not add to traffic issues / congestion
- This is growth at a sensible, sustainable pace
- This is the right size of development for the village

Relevant Planning History

None identified

Analysis

Principle of Development/Sustainability:

The site is outside of but adjacent to the Bridestowe Settlement Boundary, leading to conflict with the Council's housing policy H31.

The emerging policy TTV31 does provide a more flexible approach to housing within peripheral sites where the scheme meets an identified local need and provides a sustainable solution. The analysis below concludes that the site is sustainable, and the housing mix should provide an opportunity for this site to meet a local need when assessed at Reserved Matters stage. The Joint Local Plan has indicated that Bridestowe can accommodate a figure of approximately 30 dwellings within the next plan period. Regardless of mix, housing here will provide a social contribution to village vitality through supporting existing facilities.

Overall, when acknowledging the vintage of the Council's current housing policies, the proportionate scale of the residential development in relation to Bridestowe as identified within the emerging Joint Local Plan, the potential it has to enhance village vitality, the otherwise sustainable character of the site and the more flexible approach realised by emerging policy TTV31, officers support the principle of this scale of residential development within this location.

Landscape, Village Character and Heritage

The application site relates very well to existing development within the village. The site and the surrounding land is relatively set down within the valley with limited public receptors in the surrounding area, leading to the rural fringe character being broadly conserved.

The scheme will revise and extend an existing access but the proposed access to the site is within an area already characterised by residential development, with vehicular accesses readily apparent within the streetscene.

A number of third parties have correctly identified the visual contribution that the site has when viewed from the centre of the village, as it forms the rural backdrop to the settlement. Although the site is generally well screened from public view, the field is seen prominently from the road junction within the village Conservation Area and from specific points within the churchyard. From these areas the field provides a positive contribution to the village as its helps to define its countryside and historic setting, with the hills of Dartmoor above and beyond. However, this view has already, to a certain extent, been compromised by the erection of the two storey dwelling Lyndhurst, and the application site is and appears directly behind this dwelling when viewed from the majority of these distant areas.

Although photographic evidence submits that the dwellings will be harmful when viewed from the village centre, in the opinion of officers, even from these positions it is Lyndhurst which continues to dominate the application site, and the northern section of the field is largely lost within its influence.

It is therefore considered that the erection of four dwellings immediately behind Lyndhurst will not change the existing situation, and the southern, more visually prominent, section of the field will continue to compliment the village setting. Officers are therefore satisfied that the development will preserve the character and appearance of the Conservation Area and will not prejudice the setting of any individually listed heritage assets. The Church has a limited relationship with the application site, and the Council's heritage specialist has identified that views from the heritage asset are incidental and not designed and raises no objection. Historic England have also identified no objection to the proposed development.

Overall, the applicant has submitted an appropriate level of information to allow the Council to conclude that the site can be developed, in principle, in a manner which is not harmful to village character and heritage nor the surrounding rural area.

Neighbour Amenity:

The only dwelling which is within close enough proximity to be unduly affected by this development is Lyndhurst to the west. As this dwelling has its rear aspect towards the field, and sits on lower land within close proximity, there is real potential that residential development of this site could materially affect neighbour amenity to the extent that refusal could be sustained.

However, the indicative plans have demonstrated that a scheme can be developed which adequately protects the amenity of Lyndhurst. The plans and elevations show the nearest dwelling set away from the boundary, showing only its blank flank wall towards Lyndhurst. This arrangement will prevent all but the most oblique overlooking from the proposed dwellings towards the neighbouring property.

With regard to external areas, officers are mindful that one can already stand in the field and look towards Lyndhurst, although it is acknowledged that such opportunities are limited in reality. In any case, additional planting and landscaping can be provided to ensure that views are reduced and filtered, and overall, officers are satisfied that a scheme can be developed which adequately reduces the impact upon the amenity of the dwelling Lyndhurst to an acceptable level.

Highways/Access:

Although access is a reserved matter, the Local Planning Authority, and the Highway Authority as a consultee, need to be certain that a safe access can be provided. Although specific details of the proposed access are not provided, this is a long stretch of road with good visibility, and visibility is further enhanced by the existing verge which sets the site back from the highway. As such, officers are satisfied that a safe access can be provided which will not be harmful to the existing fringe character of the streetscene and the highways officer is offering no objection.

The sudden bend in Rectory Road, to the west of the site, has been visited by officers. Rectory Road is an existing shared space bereft of footpaths commonly frequented by pedestrians and vehicles. No evidential argument has been supplied which provides a sufficient conclusion that the limited additional vehicular trips associated with this small development will itself have a tangible or material impact on the number of road users to the extent that Rectory Road will become an unsafe environment above and beyond the existing situation. In addition, the highways authority has indicated that there has been no record of any incidents or accidents in this area.

As such, officers are satisfied that the scheme will not impair highways safety.

Drainage

Drainage has been consistently raised by third parties as a specific area of concern. At site visit, officers observed that the dwelling Lyndhurst is cut into the land below the site and there appears to be a lack of a properly engineered retaining wall separating the two sites. This, combined with the topography of the field and the photographic evidence submitted to the Council, does suggest to officers that there may well be drainage issues related to the currently undeveloped site.

This is not an impediment to development *per se*, but officers have requested full range details to ensure that an acceptable drainage strategy can be established at outline stage. This work was undertaken and has resulted in a degree of discussion and revision with the Council's drainage officers. Following this discussion the Council's drainage experts are now not objecting to the scheme and can conclude that surface water runoff resulting from this development can be attenuated and soaked away within the site.

In addition, drainage officers are satisfied that foul can be dealt with and soaked away within land within the applicant's control. Drainage conditions relating to both foul and surface water are included to ensure delivery of an appropriate drainage strategy.

The scheme is accomapained by a Heritage Apprisal which has been cafeully cosdnred by the Council's heritage specialist. He has cocldued that the residital development of the site will not harm the character or appearance of

The Neighbourhood Plan

Officers acknowledge the content of the emerging Neighbourhood Pan and the asserted conflicts and compliance that the scheme has, and the references the Plan makes to footpaths and congestion.

Although these comments and policies are attributed weight within the planning balance, the emergent state of the Neighbourhood Plan dictates that it can only be attributed limited weight in this assessment, and the Neighbourhood Plan in its current form does not materially alter the planning recommendation within this officer report.

The Government's Planning Practice Guidance recommends that 'Refusal of planning permission on grounds of prematurity will seldom be justified where a draft Local Plan has yet to be submitted for examination, or in the case of a Neighbourhood Plan, before the end of the local planning authority publicity period.'

Other matters

The ecological work undertaken indicates that the development could proceed without harming any protected species and ecological enhancements can be secured through an appropriate planning condition. The ecologist has confirmed that the assessment of site constraints is applicable to the scheme as submitted and as formally readvertised.

Officers are satisfied that a condition regarding the potential discovery of unsuspected contamination adequately resolves any potential contamination issues at the site and there has been no objection raised by the Environmental Health Section.

Any necessary works to any electrical equipment will require resolution regardless of the outcome of this planning application.

Officers are not aware of any previous, relevant site history within the land. The scheme demonstrates that agricultural access to the remaining field can be retained.

Planning Balance

It is noted that there is conflict with policy H31 due to the site's location outside of, but adjacent to, the Bridestowe Settlement Boundary. However, when acknowledging also the age of the Council's housing policies, the proportionate scale of the residential development in relation to Bridestowe, the potential it has to enhance village vitality, the otherwise sustainable character of the site and the more flexible approach realised by emerging policy TTV31, officers support the principle of this scale of residential development within this location.

Although this scheme is in outline with all matters reserved, the scheme is accompanied by indicative plans and a drainage strategy which allows officers to conclude, in principle, that a development of this scale can be accommodated within the site in a policy compliant way. This application is therefore recommended for approval subject to appropriate conditions.

This application has been considered in accordance with Section 38 of the Planning & Compulsory Purchase Act 2004 and with Sections 66 and 72 of the Planning (Listed Buildings and Conservation Areas) Act 1990.

Planning Policy

Section 70 of the 1990 Town and Country Planning Act requires that regard be had to the development plan, any local finance and any other material considerations. Section 38(6) of the 2004 Planning and Compensation Act requires that applications are to be determined in accordance with the development plan unless material considerations indicate otherwise.

The relevant development plan policies are set out below:

West Devon Borough Council Core Strategy 2011

SP1 – Sustainable Development

SP5 - Spatial Strategy

SP17 – Landscape Character

SP18 – The Heritage and Historical Character of West Devon

SP19 - Biodiversity

SP20 - Promoting High Quality Design

SP21 - Flooding

SP24 - Sustainable Rural Communities

West Devon Borough Council Local Plan Review 2005 (as amended 2011)

NE10 – Protection of the Countryside and Other Open Spaces

BE1 - Conservation Areas

BE3 - Listed Buildings

BE13 - Landscaping and Boundary Treatment

H25 - Mixed Use Development in Town Centres

H26 - Open Space Provision in New Residential Developments

H28 - Settlements with Defined Limits

H29 - Smaller Settlements

H31 – Residential Development in the Countryside

T2 - Pedestrian and Cyclist Safety

T9 – The Highway Network

PS2 - Sustainable Urban Drainage Systems

PS3 - Sewage Disposal

PS4 - Private Water Supply

Emerging Joint Local Plan

The Plymouth and South West Devon Joint Local Plan (the JLP) will replace the above as the statutory development plan once it is formally adopted.

Annex 1 of the National Planning Policy Framework (the Framework) provides guidance on determining the weight in relation to existing and emerging development plan policies.

- For current development plan documents, due weight should be given to relevant policies according to their degree of consistency with the Framework (the closer the policies in the plan to the policies in the Framework, the greater the weight that may be given).
- For the JLP, which is an emerging development plan, the weight is to be determined by the stage of its preparation, the extent to which there are unresolved objections, and its degree of consistency with the Framework.

The JLP is at a relatively advanced stage of preparation. The precise weight to be given to policies within the JLP will need to be determined on a case by case basis, having regard to all of the material considerations as set out on the analysis above.

PLYMOUTH AND SOUTH WEST DEVON JOINT LOCAL PLAN -: PUBLICATION (as considered by the Full Councils end Feb/Early March 2017)

SPT2 Sustainable linked neighbourhoods and sustainable rural communities

SPT3 Provision for new homes

TTV31 Development in the Countryside

DEV8 Meeting local housing need in the Thriving Towns and Villages Policy Area

DEV18 Protecting local shops and services

DEV20 Place shaping and the quality of the built environment

DEV21 Conserving the historic environment

DEV22 Development affecting the historic environment

DEV24 Landscape character

DEV27 Nationally protected landscapes

DEV28 Protecting and enhancing biodiversity and geological conservation

DEV30 Trees, woodlands and hedgerows

DEV37 Managing flood risk and Water Quality Impacts

Considerations under Human Rights Act 1998 and Equalities Act 2010

The provisions of the Human Rights Act 1998 and Equalities Act 2010 have been taken into account in reaching the recommendation contained in this report.

Planning Conditions

 Application for approval of the reserved matters shall be made to the local planning authority not later than three years from the date of this decision notice. The development hereby permitted shall begin not later than two years from the date of approval of the last of the reserved matters to be approved.

Reason: To comply with Section 92 of the Town and Country Planning Act, 1990 (as amended).

2) Details of the access, appearance, landscaping, layout, and scale of the new dwellings (hereinafter called "the reserved matters") shall be submitted to and approved in writing by the local planning authority before any development begins and the development shall be carried out as approved.

Reason: To ensure that adequate information is available for the proper consideration of the detailed proposals and to protect the appearance and character of the area.

3) The development hereby permitted shall be carried out in accordance with the Site Location Plan

Reason: To ensure that the proposed development is carried out in accordance with the drawings forming part of the application to which this approval relates.

- 4) Notwithstanding the submitted details, the development hereby permitted shall not be commenced until:
 - Percolation testing in accordance with DG 365 will be required to support the use of soakaways. The report should include the trail logs and calculate the infiltration rate.
 - SuDS to be designed for a 1:100 year event plus 40% for climate change.

If the Local Planning Authority concludes that the method of drainage approved as part of this permission is undermined by the results of the percolation tests, a mitigating drainage alternative shall be agreed with the Local Planning Authority

The drainage scheme shall be installed in strict accordance with the approved plans, maintained and retained in accordance with the agreed details for the life of the development.

Reason: To ensure surface water runoff does not increase to the detriment of the public highway or other local properties as a result of the development

5) Notwithstanding the submitted details, no development shall be commenced until details of the works for the disposal of sewage have been submitted to and approved in writing by the Local Planning Authority, and the dwellings shall not be occupied until the approved works have been completed to the satisfaction of the Local Planning Authority. Details to include a completed FDA1 form and justification for private foul system.

If the proposed development results in any changes/replacement to the existing system or the creation of a new system, scale plans of the new foul drainage arrangements will also need to be provided. This will include a location plan, cross sections/elevations, specification and its capacity to hold additional load.

The treatment plant must meet the current British standard i.e. BS EN 12566 for small sewage treatment plants in order to discharge to a water course. According to EA Binding Rules, new discharges are not allowed to a ditch or a surface water course that does not contain flowing water throughout the whole year. The applicant will need to confirm that it contains flowing water throughout the whole year and whether it requires EA's permit to discharge to a watercourse. A shared maintenance and management plan will be required.

Reason: In the interests of the prevention of pollution and to accord with Development Plan Policy C24 (Protecting Water Resources).

- 6) No works or development shall take place until a Landscape and Ecology Management Plan (LEMP) has been submitted to and approved in writing by the local planning authority. The LEMP shall include:
 - (i) All existing boundary hedgerows, trees and tree belts;
 - (ii) Submission of a lighting strategy for during and post construction (to show avoidance of light spill onto boundary and internal hedgerows, to be informed by bat survey results);
 - (iii) Details of inbuilt provision for birds and bats:
 - (iv) A concept statement explaining how the proposed landscape treatment, both hard and soft, conserves and enhances the landscape character of the area;
 - (v) Arrangements for stripping, storage and re-use of topsoil;
 - (vi) Materials, heights and details of fencing and other boundary treatments;
 - (vii) The location, number, species, density, form and size of proposed tree, hedge and shrub planting:
 - (viii) The method of planting, establishment and protection of tree, hedge and shrub planting;
 - (ix) A timetable for the implementation of all hard and soft landscape treatment.

All elements of the LEMP shall be implemented and maintained in accordance with the approved details unless otherwise agreed in writing by the local planning authority. All work shall be completed in accordance with the timetable agreed.

Reason: In the interests of ecological and visual amenity

7) If, during development, contamination not previously identified is found to be present at the site then no further development (unless otherwise agreed in writing with the Local Planning Authority) shall be carried out until the developer has submitted, and obtained written approval from the Local Planning Authority for, an [amended] investigation and risk assessment and, where necessary, a[n amended] remediation strategy and verification plan detailing how this unsuspected contamination shall be dealt with.

Following completion of measures identified in the approved remediation strategy and verification plan and prior to occupation of any part of the permitted development, a verification report demonstrating completion of the works set out in the approved remediation strategy and the effectiveness of the remediation shall be submitted to and approved, in writing, by the local planning authority.

Reason: No site investigation can completely characterise a site. This condition is required to ensure that any unexpected contamination that is uncovered during remediation or other site works is dealt with appropriately.

8) No other part of the development hereby approved shall be brought into its intended use until the access(es), parking facilities, visibility splays, turning area, parking spaces and garage/hardstanding, access drives and access drainage have been provided and maintained in accordance with details that shall have been submitted to, and approved in writing by, the Local Planning Authority and retained for that purpose at all times

Reason: To ensure that adequate facilities are available for the traffic attracted to the site